RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	FEDERAL F	LECTION COMMIS	SION		
		9 E Street, N.W.	2012 MAY 21	PH 3: 01	
2 3,	Wasi	hington, D.C. 20463			
4		RAL COUNSEL'S RI	al Fi	JATTER 78	7
5	FIRST GENE	RAL COUNSEL'S RI	EPORT CALL	ADTITAT	<u>L</u>
6		\			
7		MUR: 6519		1 01 0011	
8		DATE COMPLAINT		-	
9		DATE OF LAST BES		-	
10 11		DATE OF LAST RES DATE ACTIVATED:	•	•	
12	•	DATE ACTIVATED:	reducing 21, 2	.012	
13		EARLIEST SOL: Oct	toher 10 2015		
14		LATEST SOL: Octob	•		
15		DAILDI BOL. Octob	ACI 20, 2015		
16	COMPLAINANT:	Kevin P. LeMaire			
17					
18	RESPONDENTS:	Calvin C. Fayard, Jr.			
19	RESPONDENTS:	Cynthia Fayard	•		
20		Chalyn Fayard			
20 21		Cathryn Caroline Fa			
22		Calvin C. Fayard, III			
23		Frances Gray Fayard		26	
24		Carolyn Mistoler	•	2012	رين نيد
25		D. Blayne Honeycut	•	ĭ. A	ACC.
26		Valerie Honeycutt		MAY 21	33.
20 27		vaiche moneyeun		_ E	is.
28	RELEVANT STATUTES			ס 🧟	Sic
29	AND REGULATIONS:	2 U.S.C. § 441f		بب ^ج	2
30		11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b))	0	O ₂
31		3	•	€0	
32					
33	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports			
34		-			
35	OTHER AGENCIES CHECKED:	Louisiana Board of I	Ethics		
0.0					
36	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>				
37	Complainant alleges that Calvin C	. Fayard, Jr. or Cynthia	Fayard provide	d the funds that	
38	their daughter Chalyn Fayard used for a \$	10,000 contribution to t	he federal accou	int of the	
39	Democratic State Central Committee of L	ouisiana ("DSCCL") in	October 2010.	Respondents	
40	maintain that Chalyn Fayard used her own	n funds for the contribut	tion and provide	ed information	

First General Counsel's Report MUR 6519 (Calvin C. Fayard, Jr. et al) Page 2 of 8

- 1 showing that she had sufficient personal funds to make the contribution. Complainant also
- 2 generally alleges that additional contributions to the DSCCL's federal account reported as being
- 3 made by Fayard family members and associates came from an unnamed source. Respondents
- 4 deny these allegations.
- As discussed below, the available information shows that Chalyn Fayard made the
- 6 \$10,000 federal contribution to the DSCCL with her own funds. Further, the less specific
- 7 allegations regarding additional federal contributions made by Fayard family mumbers and
- 8 associates are based nn specuriation and irave been denied. Therefore, we recommend that the
- 9 Commission find no reason to believe that respondents violated the Act or Commission
- 10 regulations.

11 II. FACTS

12 A. Background

- The DSCCL is a state political party committee of the Louisiana Democratic Party that
- 14 is registered with the Commission and maintains a federal account.
- 15 Calvin Fayard, Jr. is a Louisiana attorney and one of two named partners of the law firm
- 16 Fayard & Honeycutt, APC. Cynthia Fayard is his ex-wife and the mother of his three adult
- 17 children: Chalyn Cynthia Fayard, a veterinarian; Cathryn "Caroline" Fayard, an attorney; and
- 18 Calvin C. Fayard, III, an attorney. Frances Gray Fayard is Calvin Fayard, Jr.'s current wife and
- 19 is also an attorney. D. Blayne Honeycutt is Calvin Fayard, Jr.'s law partner at Fayard &
- 20 Honeycutt, APC, and Valerie Honeycutt is his wife. Carolyn Mistoler allegedly was Calvin
- 21 Fayard, Jr.'s personal and business bookkeeper during the relevant period. See Complaint at 2.
- Disclosure reports filed with the Commission show that the Fayard family members
- 23 contributed over \$655,000 to various federal candidates and committees since 1997. Calvin

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

L

ব

M

- 1 Fayard, Jr. has contributed over \$280,000; Cynthia Fayard, who is retired, has contributed over
- 2 \$84,000; Chalyn Fayard has contributed over \$45,000; Caroline Fayard has contributed over
- 3 \$45,000; Calvin C. Fayard, III, has contributed over \$51,000; and Frances Gray Fayard has
- 4 contributed over \$149,000.

B. 2010 Federal Contributions

On October 26, 2010, Chalyn Fayard contributed \$10,000 to the DSCCL's federal

7 account. Commission disclosure reports show that other Fayani family members contributed an

additional \$55,000 to the DSCCL's federal account in October 2010. Calvin C. Fayard, Ir.,

Cynthia Fayard, and D. Blayne Honeycutt each contributed \$10,000 on October 19, 2010, and

Frances Gray Fayard contributed \$5,000 on the same date. Caroline Fayard and Valerie

Honeycutt each contributed \$10,000 on October 26, 2010.

Complainant, a former paralegal at Fayard and Honeycutt, APC, alleges that Chalyn Fayard's \$10,000 contribution was made with funds that her parents transferred into her bank account. See Complaint at 2-3. Complainant does not claim to have any direct knowledge of such a reimbursement, but alleges that Chalyn Fayard could not have afforded the \$10,000 contribution because she was a veterinary doctoral student in Scotland and was unemployed at the time. See Complaint at 3.

Chalyn Fayard asserts that, although she was a full-timn graduate vaterinary student at the time of her contributions, she had substantial personal assets and investments with which to make the contribution. See Response at 2. She submitted an affidavit stating that the \$10,000 contribution was made with her personal funds and was not reimbursed. Id. She also provided

¹ The Commission's disclosure reports show that Careline Fayard previously contributed \$5,000 to the DSCCL's federal account on July 13, 2010 and that Frances Gray Fayard contributed \$2,950 in August 2010. Although Complainant alleged that Calvin Fayard III's contributions to the DSCCL were also reimbursed, the committee's reports show no federal contributions from Calvin Fayard III in 2010.

First General Counsel's Report MUR 6519 (Calvin C. Fayard, Jr. et al) Page 4 of 8

- 1 information showing that she received \$85,587 in income from wages and investments during
- 2 2010. Chalyn Fayard further provided bank records showing the source of the funds used to
- make the \$10,000 contribution.² See Supplemental Response dated April 19, 2012 at 1.
- 4 According to the bank records, Chalyn Fayard made the \$10,000 federal contribution with
- 5 check No. 846 dated October 26, 2010 from her Chase checking account. The check was
- 6 cashed on October 28, 2010 from equivalent funds Chalyn Fayard transferred from her Chase
- 7 savings account.

Without providing any details, Complainant also alleges that the \$55,000 in federal contributions to the DSCCL reported as being made by various Fayard family members and associates came from an unnamed source. Complainant provided no factual basis for the allegations. Those respondents assert that they made their contributions with personal funds,

but they did not provide personal financial information. See Response at 2.

13

12

² Chalyn Fayard, through counsel, offered to provide records voluntarily to substantiate her declaration, notwithstanding her understanding that she need not do so at this stage of the Commission's proceeding. On April 19 and May 11, 2012, Chalyn Fayard provided relevant bank records. She also provided a copy of a personal financial statement showing that she had a net worth of over in August 2002 as evidence of her financial means.

First General Counsel's Report MUR 6519 (Calvin C. Fayard, Jr. et al) Page 5 of 8

C. 2010 Nonfederal Contributions

Fayard family members also made substantial non-federal contributions in 20		Favard famil	v members also i	made substantial	non-federal	contributions	in 201
---	--	--------------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------	--------

- 3 Complainant alleges that on January 20, 2011, the Louisiana Board of Ethics commenced an
- 4 investigation into whether Calvin Fayard, Jr., Cynthia Fayard, and Caroline Fayard violated the
- 5 Louisiana Campaign Finance Disclosure Act by making contributions in the name of another to
- 6 evade Louisiana's \$5,000 individual contribution limit to state candidates. * See Complaint at 3.

7 8

1

9

10 Complainant suggests that the Louisiana Board of Ethics investigation into nonfederal 11 contributions indicates that Chalyn Fayard's \$10,000 federal contribution was part of a broader

- 12 reimbursement scheme to support Caroline Fayard's 2010 candidacy for Lieutenant Governor
- of Louisiana. 6 See Complaint at 2-3. Respondents deny the allegations. See Response.

14

⁴ Additional information regarding the state investigation is available in a publicly-released opinion from a parallel judicial proceeding challenging the jurisdiction of the Louisiana Board of Ethics to conduct the investigation, which was decided in favor of the Board. See Louisiana Democrats v. The Louisiana Board of Ethics, No. 2011 CW 2187, Op. (La. App. 1 Cir. 1/23/12).

⁶ During the 2010 election cycle, Caroline Fayard was a first-time candidate for Lieutenant Governor in the state of Louisiana. She received sufficient votes in an October 2, 2010 special election to run against Louisiana's Secretary of State Jay Dardenne in a November 2, 2010 runoff election. The special election followed the resignation of former Lieutenant Governor, Mitch Landrieu, who became Mayor of New Orleans. See Ed Anderson, Race for Louisiana'a [sic] Next Lieutenant Governor Heats Up, Times-Picayune (Oct. 21, 2010).

First General Counsel's Report MUR 6519 (Calvin C. Fayard, Jr. et al) Page 6 of 8

III. ANALYSIS

1

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), prohibits a person 2 3 from making a contribution in the name of another person, knowingly permitting one's name to 4 be used to effect such a contribution, or knowingly accepting a contribution made by one person 5 in the name of another. 2 U.S.C. § 441f; 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1). The Commission's 6 regulations prohibit knowingly helping or assisting any person in making a contribution in the 7 name of another. 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii). Contributions made to the DSCCL's federal 8 account are subject to the limits and prohibitions of the Act. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(a). 9 Complainant alleges that the Favards reimbursed various contributions, including 10 Chalyn Fayard's \$10,000 contribution. Complainant's allegation regarding Chalyn Fayard 11 relies on the premise that as a full-time student she would not have the funds to make a \$10,000 12 contribution. The available evidence does not support the allegation. Chalyn Fayard provided a sworn affidavit declaring that she made the \$10,000 contribution with personal funds and that 13 14 she was not reimbursed. She also provided bank records showing that she had sufficient existing funds in her bank account to fund the contribution and that the contribution was made 15 16 with funds she transferred from her savings account. There is no available information that 17 casts any doubt as to the verwity of the statements in Chelyn Fayard's sworn affidavit. 18 Therefore, we recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe Chalyn Fayard, Calvin 19 Fayard, Jr., or Cynthia Fayard violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f or 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1) with regard 20 to the \$10,000 federal contribution reported as having been made by Chalyn Fayard. 21 Complainant further alleges that various other federal contributions the DSCCL reported as being made by Chalyn Fayard's parents, siblings, and the Honeycutts in 2010 were 22 23 reimbursed. Complainant provided no information to support the allegations, however, and

First General Counsel's Report	
MUR 6519 (Calvin C. Fayard, Jr. e	t al)
Page 7 of 8	

- 1 respondents have denied the allegations. Therefore, we also recommend that the Commission
- 2 find no reason to believe Calvin Fayard, Jr., Cynthia Fayard, Caroline Fayard, Calvin C. Fayard,
- 3 III, Frances Gray Fayard, D. Blayne Honeycutt, and Valerie Honeycutt violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f
- 4 or 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1) in connection with federal contributions to the DSCCL reported as
- 5 having been made in their names.
- 6 Finally, Complainant alleges that Carolyn Mistoler, the Fayard and Honeycutt law
- 7 firm's bookkeeper, helped or assisted the family with the purported primbursement achore.
- 8 Based on the above discussion, we also recommend that the Commission find no reason to
- 9 believe Ms. Mistoler violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f or 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1).

10 III. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 1. Find no reason to believe that Chalyn Fayard violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f or 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1);
 - 2. Find no reason to believe that Colvin C. Fayard, Jr. and Cynthia Fayard violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f or 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1);
 - 3. Find no reason to believe that Cathryn Caroline Fayard, Calvin C. Fayard, III, Frances Gray Fayard, D. Blayne Honeycutt, Valerie Honeycutt, and Carolyn Mistoler violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f or 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1);
 - 4. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;
- 23 5. Approve the appropriate letters; and

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

31

First General Counsel's Report MUR 6519 (Calvin C. Fayard, Jr. et al) Page 8 of 8

1		6.	Close the file.
2			
3 4 5 6			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			5/2./.
10			5/21/12
11	Date		•
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			

Anthony Herman General Counsel

Daniel A. Petalas

Associate General Counsel

for Enforcement

Mark Shonkwiler

Assistant General Counsel

Kamau Philbert

Attorney